



From dynamical systems to networks	Optimization problem	Solving the optimization	The role of topology	Conclusions	Extras
Outline					

Network systems robustness to different contingencies :

- Communication components failures
- Variations in network weights : unmodeled uncertainties, attacks

We aim at measuring robustness in terms of :

Size of smallest perturbation needed to prevent observability

We incorporate the topology in the study

Require the perturbation to match with structural constraints :

- 1 Observability radius : from dynamical systems to networks
- 2 Observability radius as an optimization problem
- 3 Solving the optimization
- 4 The role of topology
- 5 Conclusions



Network 
$$\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$$
 described by

x(t+1) = Ax(t)

 $\blacksquare \text{ Monitored by sensor nodes } \mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ 

 $y(t) = C_{\mathcal{O}} x(t)$ 

Attacks/failures occur at some edges  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ 



- Can the adversary make the dynamics unobservable?
- How large is the perturbation required to be?

Optimization probl

From dynamical systems to networks	Optimization problem	Solving the optimization	The role of topology	Conclusions 00	
Preliminary : The o	bservability r	adius			

Before perturbation,  $(A, C_{\mathcal{O}})$  is observable

 $\begin{aligned} x(t+1) = Ax(t) \\ y(t) = C_{\mathcal{O}}x(t) \end{aligned}$ 

The network observability radius is

 $\min_{\Delta} \|\Delta\|_F^2$ 

s.t.  $(A + \Delta, C_{\mathcal{O}})$  is unobservable

 $\Delta \in \mathcal{A}_{H}$ 

- A only is perturbed
- Structure is imposed :  $\Delta$  must be compatible with a *constraint graph*
- Frobenius norm  $||\Delta||_F^2 = \sum_{i,j} \delta_{ij}^2$  is chosen

i. Bianchin, P. Frasca, A. Gasparri, F. Pasc	qualetti A	ACC 2016, Boston MA		July 6, 2016	6 / 16

Computing the observability radius

G. Bianchin, P. Frasca, A. Gasparri, F. Pasqualetti

More explicitly :

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min_{\Delta,\lambda,x} & ||\Delta||_F^2 & \mbox{Frobenius norm} \\ \mbox{s.t.} & C_{\mathcal{O}}x = 0 & \mbox{unobservability} \\ & (A + \Delta)x = \lambda x & \mbox{eigenvalue constraint} \\ & \|x\|_2 = 1 & \mbox{normalization} \\ & \Delta \in \mathcal{A}_H & \mbox{structural constraint} \end{array}$ 

Solving the optimization

- The optimization is performed over  $\Delta$  and  $\lambda$ , x
- Not convex
- Not necessarily feasible
- Because  $(A, C_{\mathcal{O}})$  is observable,  $\Delta$  must be nonzero

July 6, 2016 5 / 16

From dynamical systems to networks Optimization problem	Solving the optimization         The role of top           ○●○○         ○○	oology Conclusions Extras	From dynamical systems to networks	Optimization problem	Solving the optimization	The role of topology	Conclusions Extras
Proposed approach			Optimization soluti	ion (1)			
Two steps approach :	ptimization for a fixed $\lambda$ a for the best $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$		s	tep 1 Incorpora $  \Delta  _F^2$ and the $c$ $(A + \Delta)$ We deconverte au $\ \bar{\Delta}^*\ _1^2$ tep 2 s.t.	tte structural constraint $= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (b_{ij} - a_{ij})^2 v_i^{-1}$ bbservability constraint $\Delta := B \implies Bx = \lambda$ npose $\lambda = \lambda_{\Re} + i\lambda_{\Im}$ and n equivalent optimization $\frac{1}{2} = \min_{\bar{B}, x_{\Re}^2, x_{\Im}^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{p=1}^{n} \sum_{-\bar{M}}^{n} [\bar{B} - \bar{N}]_{-\bar{M}}$ EAST SOUARES MINIM	is in $  \Delta  _F^2$ : $\sum_{j=1}^{-1}$ , $v_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$ x $d x = x_{\Re} + ix_{\Im}$ and on problem: $\sum_{i=1}^{+1} (\bar{b}_{ij} - \bar{a}_{ij})^2 v_{ij}^{-1}$ , $\bar{M}_{\bar{B}} - \bar{N} \left[ \begin{bmatrix} x_{\Re}^2 \\ x_{\Im}^2 \end{bmatrix} = 0 \right]$	
<ol> <li>Exhaustive search seems unavo Guangdi Hu and Edward J Davison. Real controllability/stabi IEEE transactions on automatic control, 49(2):254–257, 200</li> <li>For some topologies optimal λ c</li> </ol>	bidable : liizability radius of til systems. 4 an be found analytically			TOTAL			
The choice of $\lambda$ may be guided to	by the application						
G. Bianchin, P. Frasca, A. Gasparri, F. Pasqualetti ACC	2016, Boston MA	July 6, 2016 9 / 16	G. Bianchin, P. Frasca, A. Gasparri, F. Pasc	qualetti A	CC 2016, Boston MA		July 6, 2016 10 / 1
From dynamical systems to networks Optimization problem 0000	Solving the optimization The role of top 000● 00	oology Conclusions Extras OO	From dynamical systems to networks	Optimization problem	Solving the optimization	The role of topology ●O	Conclusions Extras
Step 3       Define Lag         Step 3       Optimality of computing of c	grange multipliers and write $\nabla \mathcal{L}$ = conditions yield to the problem $g z$ and $\bar{\sigma}$ s.t. $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A}^T \\ 0 \\ z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \bar{\sigma} \begin{bmatrix} D_y & 0 \\ 0 \\ D_x \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}$ CD NONLINEAR EIGENVALUE PRO- st nonzero $\bar{\sigma}$ and z s.t. $H z = \bar{\sigma}$ . vely by "freezing" the nonlinearit ver iteration method)	$= 0$ BLEM: $D_z z$ $y D_z$ imal solutions		The r	ole of topology		

Bart De Moor. Total least squares for affinely structured matrices and the noisy realization problem. IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, 42(11):3104–3113, 1994



## Jongiusions

## In this talk...

- **1** Extend classical observability radius to networks
- **2** Resilience measure for network systems
- 3 Optimal problem formulation
- 4 Heuristic algorithm for its solution
- 5 Results can be extended to controllability

## Research questions...

- 1 How do we chose  $\lambda$
- More on the role of topology
- U G. Bianchin, P. Frasca, A. Gasparri, and F. Pasqualetti. The observability radius of network systems. In American Control Conference, Boston, MA, USA, Jul. 2016
- **G. Bianchin**, P. Frasca, A. Gasparri, and F. Pasqualetti. The observability radius of network systems: Algorithms and estimates for random networks.
- In IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control [Submitted], 2016